

REMARKS

This paper responds to the Office Action of April 20, 2006, in which the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 and 14-27 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-15 of US Patent 6,258,068.

As an initial matter, claim 2 was previously canceled, so it is presumed the Examiner meant to reject claims 1, 3-4 and 14-27.

A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to overcome the rejection.

Entry, and consideration and allowance are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Customer Number 25763

Date: May 15, 2006

By: David E. Bruhn
David E. Bruhn, Reg. No. 36,762
(612) 340-6317